



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/803,420

03/18/2004

Manoj Kumar Singhal

15474US01

5543

23446 7590 10/27/2008
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD
500 WEST MADISON STREET
SUITE 3400
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

COLUCCI, MICHAEL C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/27/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/803,420	Applicant(s) SINGHAL ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL C. COLUCCI	Art Unit 2626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 08/21/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-18 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Chen et al. US 6915263 B1 (hereinafter Chen) and Kizuki et al. US 5684829 A (hereinafter Kizuki). Examiner takes the position that Belknap et al. US 7356245 B2 (hereinafter Belknap) does not teach windowing or a window function, and that the windowing taught by Belknap is in fact a digital window. Therefore, Examiner believes that the teachings of Belknap is not within the scope of the invention using a window function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh et al. US 5781696 (hereinafter Oh) in view of Chen et al. US 6915263 B1 (hereinafter Chen).

Re claims 1, 6, and 11, Oh teaches method for speeding up an encoded original audio signal, said original audio signal having an original frequency and original playback speed, said method comprising:

retrieving frames of the original audio signal (Fig. 5);

wherein said desired playback speed is greater than the original playback speed (col. 5 lines 60-65);

applying a window function (col. 5 line 65 – col. 6 line 2) to the remaining frames

converting the signal with the windowed frames from digital to analog format;

using the original frequency to playback the analog format signal (col. 6 lines 38-46)

skipping frames at a rate according to a desired playback speed (Col. 1 lines 33-45, every other frame at a higher play back speed);

However, Oh fails to teach receiving the encoded original audio signal;

applying a window function (col. 5 line 65 – col. 6 line 2) to the remaining frames

Chen teaches error reduction of encoded frames, wherein Chen teaches error entries of error array 370 can be computed and stored by the parser process 270 of the decoder 200. There are several ways in which the AC3 data can indicate that errors are contained within a frame of encoded data. In one method, the decoder 200 can be informed of the error frame by the transport system which delivers the data. The data integrity can also be checked using the embedded CRC 220 fields for each encoded frame. Methods for using the CRC fields of an encoded frame for error detection are

Art Unit: 2626

well known. Also, well known consistency checks on the received bitstream 134 can also be used to indicate that errors are present in a particular encoded frame. It is appreciated that at step 305 of FIG. 4, any of a number of well known processes can be used for generating the error array 370 of FIG. 5A based on the input bitstream 134. In the example of FIG. 5A, the next audio encoded frame that is being processed at step 305 is frame 48. (Chen Col. 7 lines 37-55).

Further, Chen teaches well known techniques in playback processing of skipping a current frame and the output being muted (whether or not the current frame contains an error therein), otherwise, the current frame is normally decoded and played. In this way, the number of transition times from normal play to mute and from mute to normal play (unmute) is reduced. In effect, the muting strategy is extended across several non-error frames depending on the accumulated error rate so that short mutings are merged into a long muting. When the error rate is high, process 280 acts to merge together adjacent error frames (mute merging) by increasing the error recovery delay period. The amount of mute merging is adaptive and is based on the error rate. At step 345, a number of different muting operations can be performed to mute the current frame. In the preferred embodiment, a smooth muting with zeros can be applied to decline the audio signal at a given rate according to a window function and in an alternate embodiment, a frame repeat can be performed. FIG. 6 illustrates smooth muting with zeros to reduce the "pop" sounds associated with muting. In this embodiment, an attenuation or "window" function 420 is applied to the decoded audio frame represented as signal 410 to decline its amplitude. Windowing starts at the zero-cross point. The

Art Unit: 2626

attenuation function represents the amount of the original signal 410 allowed to exist at any given time and the remainder of the audio signal is padded (e.g., replaced) with zeros to provide a mute. Smoothing functions and muting using window functions are well known (Col. 9 lines 9-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Oh to incorporate receiving the encoded original audio signal and applying a window function as taught by Chen to allow for the smoothing of a signal after certain frames were removed/muted, wherein a windowing function is applied to frames when skipping or muting frames if an error occurs prior to processing (Col. 9 lines 9-38).

Re claims 4, 9, and 14, method according to claim 1 wherein the desired playback speed is a predefined default value (col. 6 lines 34-38).

Re claims 5, 10, and 15, method according to claim 1 wherein the desired playback speed is a programmable value (col. 6 lines 34-38).

Re claims 16-18, Oh fails to teach the method of claim 1, wherein skipping frames at a rate according to a desired playback speed further comprises skipping frames at a rate according to a desired playback speed, wherein the frames correspond to time intervals (Col. 1 lines 33-45, every other frame at a higher play back speed);.

Chen teaches error reduction of encoded frames, wherein Chen teaches error entries of error array 370 can be computed and stored by the parser process 270 of the decoder 200. There are several ways in which the AC3 data can indicate that errors are contained within a frame of encoded data. In one method, the decoder 200 can be informed of the error frame by the transport system which delivers the data. The data integrity can also be checked using the embedded CRC 220 fields for each encoded frame. Methods for using the CRC fields of an encoded frame for error detection are well known. Also, well known consistency checks on the received bitstream 134 can also be used to indicate that errors are present in a particular encoded frame. It is appreciated that at step 305 of FIG. 4, any of a number of well known processes can be used for generating the error array 370 of FIG. 5A based on the input bitstream 134. In the example of FIG. 5A, the next audio encoded frame that is being processed at step 305 is frame 48. (Chen Col. 7 lines 37-55).

Further, Chen teaches well known techniques in playback processing of skipping a current frame and the output being muted (whether or not the current frame contains an error therein), otherwise, the current frame is normally decoded and played. In this way, the number of transition times from normal play to mute and from mute to normal play (unmute) is reduced. In effect, the muting strategy is extended across several non-error frames depending on the accumulated error rate so that short mutings are merged into a long muting. When the error rate is high, process 280 acts to merge together adjacent error frames (mute merging) by increasing the error recovery delay period. The amount of mute merging is adaptive and is based on the error rate. At step 345, a

Art Unit: 2626

number of different muting operations can be performed to mute the current frame. In the preferred embodiment, a smooth muting with zeros can be applied to decline the audio signal at a given rate according to a window function and in an alternate embodiment, a frame repeat can be performed. FIG. 6 illustrates smooth muting with zeros to reduce the "pop" sounds associated with muting. In this embodiment, an attenuation or "window" function 420 is applied to the decoded audio frame represented as signal 410 to decline its amplitude. Windowing starts at the zero-cross point. The attenuation function represents the amount of the original signal 410 allowed to exist at any given time and the remainder of the audio signal is padded (e.g., replaced) with zeros to provide a mute. Smoothing functions and muting using window functions are well known (Col. 9 lines 9-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Oh to incorporate skipping frames at a rate according to a desired playback speed further comprises skipping frames at a rate according to a desired playback speed, wherein the frames correspond to time intervals as taught by Chen to allow for the smoothing of a signal after certain frames were removed/muted, wherein a windowing function is applied to frames when skipping or muting frames if an error occurs prior to processing (Col. 9 lines 9-38).

4. Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh et al. US 5781696 (hereinafter Oh) in view of Chen et al. US

6915263 B1 (hereinafter Chen) and further in view of Kizuki et al. US 5684829 A (hereinafter Kizuki).

Re claims 2, 7, and 12, Oh in view of Chen fails to teach the method according to claim 1 wherein the encoded original audio signal is encoded in the frequency domain using one of a plurality of encoding schemes, the method further comprising frequency-domain decoding of the encoded original audio signal.

Kizuki teaches a signal encoding and decoding system such as the signal decoding system shown in FIG. 3, the bit stream received at the decoding system input is a digital audio signal represented in the frequency domain. This input is supplied to inverse quantizer-decoder 4, where it is decoded. The output of inverse quantizer-decoder 4 is fed to inverse discrete transform processor 5, where its inverse discrete transform is returned to the time domain; i.e. the inverse discrete cosine transform (IDCT), inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT), or inverse Karhunen-Loeve transform (IKLT), etc., as applicable, is transformed. The output of inverse discrete transform processor 5 is inverse-windowed by frame buffer 6, and output as a decoded digital audio signal represented in the time domain. The inverse windowing process multiplies each frame of the signal by the inverse of the function used to window it, thereby restoring the amplitude of the audio signal to its original state removing the window components (Kizuki Col. 2 lines 17-34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Oh in view of Chen to incorporate audio signal is encoded in the frequency domain using one of a plurality of encoding schemes,

Art Unit: 2626

the method further comprising frequency-domain decoding of the encoded original audio signal as taught by Kizuki to allow for an accurate method of getting information back and forth from the frequency/time domain, wherein window components can be removed and the signal content preserved in the original format (Kizuki Col. 2 lines 17-34).

Re claims 3, 8, and 13, Oh in view of Chen fails to teach the method according to claim 2 wherein said decoding comprises:

decoding said encoded signal using a decoding scheme corresponding to said one of a plurality of encoding schemes; applying an inverse transform to the encoded audio signal;

and applying an inverse window function.

Kizuki teaches a signal encoding and decoding system such as the signal decoding system shown in FIG. 3, the bit stream received at the decoding system input is a digital audio signal represented in the frequency domain. This input is supplied to inverse quantizer-decoder 4, where it is decoded. The output of inverse quantizer-decoder 4 is fed to inverse discrete transform processor 5, where its inverse discrete transform is returned to the time domain; i.e. the inverse discrete cosine transform (IDCT), inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT), or inverse Karhunen-Loeve transform (IKLT), etc., as applicable, is transformed. The output of inverse discrete transform processor 5 is inverse-windowed by frame buffer 6, and output as a decoded digital audio signal represented in the time domain. The inverse windowing process multiplies

Art Unit: 2626

each frame of the signal by the inverse of the function used to window it, thereby restoring the amplitude of the audio signal to its original state removing the window components (Kizuki Col. 2 lines 17-34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Oh in view of Chen to incorporate decoding said encoded signal using a decoding scheme corresponding to said one of a plurality of encoding schemes; applying an inverse transform to the encoded audio signal and applying an inverse window function as taught by Kizuki to allow for an accurate method of getting information back and forth from the frequency/time domain, wherein window components can be removed and the signal content preserved in the original format (Kizuki Col. 2 lines 17-34).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Colucci whose telephone number is (571)-270-1847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571)-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael C Colucci/
Examiner, Art Unit 2626
Patent Examiner
AU 2626
(571)-270-1847
Michael.Colucci@uspto.gov

/Richemond Dorvil/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626